



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/295830	4/21/99	Heinle et al	1-21294

EXAMINER	
Vanaman	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3611	18

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Richard MacMillan (3) _____
(2) Frank Vanaman (4) _____

Date of interview 12/12/01

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 15 & 22 in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has proposed the addition of limitations directed to there being more than two radiators defining more than two chamber sides (in this case three) would define beyond the ref. to Werzenburger, along with the limitation of the sides being non-parallel. Applicant has proposed adding "substantially" before "completely" in claim 22 to overcome the 112 §1 rejection. The examiner identified Bentz et al ('603) and Berth et al. ('014) as pertinent to the proposed claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

[Signature]
Examiner's Signature

15. A radiator arrangement for a vehicle comprising:

at least three [a plurality of] radiators that are connected together to define at least three sides of a chamber wherein at least two of said sides of said chamber are not parallel with one another; and

a fan supported externally of said chamber and adapted to cause air movement through said cooling radiators.

22. A radiator arrangement for a vehicle comprising:

a support adapted to be mounted within the vehicle, said support including a lower portion; and

at least three [a plurality of] radiators that are mounted on said support to define at least three sides of a chamber wherein at least two of said sides of said chamber are not parallel with one another, said lower portion of said support and said plurality of radiators cooperating to define at least four sides of said [a] chamber that is substantially completely enclosed by said lower portion of said support and said plurality of radiators.